

REMEDIAL SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION - EPA REGION 10

AKSFN1002132

Site Name: Nekkison Lake Radio Relay SITE EPA ID#: _____
 Alias Site Names: _____ Latitude: 61°37'10" North Longitude: 149°15'30" West
 Address: Northeast 1/4 Southwest 1/4 of Section 27, Township 18 North, Range 1 East, Seward
 City: Palmer County or Borough: 1. ATANUSKA - SUSITNA State: Alaska *meridian*
 Report Type: PA Report Date: 9/20/99 Report Lead: EPA
 Report developed by: Ecology & Environment

DECISION:

- ☒ 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because:
- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA
(No Further Federal Remedial Action Planned - NFRAP) | <input type="checkbox"/> 1b. Site may qualify for further action, but is deferred to: | <input type="checkbox"/> RCRA
<input type="checkbox"/> NRC |
|---|---|---|
- ☐ 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA: 2a. (optional) Priority: ☐ Higher ☐ Lower _____
- | | | |
|--------------------|--|---|
| 2b. Activity Type: | <input type="checkbox"/> PA
<input type="checkbox"/> SI
<input type="checkbox"/> Removal Assessment
<input type="checkbox"/> Integrated Assessment
<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> ESI
<input type="checkbox"/> HRS evaluation |
|--------------------|--|---|

DISCUSSION/RATIONALE:

EPA has determined that this facility does not score high enough to be proposed for inclusion on the NPL. The site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA.



Report Reviewed and Approved by: Deborah L. Leberg Signature: Deborah L. Leberg Date: 3/27/00
 Site Decision Made by: Deborah L. Leberg Signature: Deborah L. Leberg Date: 3/27/00 *initials*
 EPA Form # 9100-3

Instructions: Use of EPA Form #9100-3

1) Filling blanks and boxes using a wordperfect version of the form: This is most easily done in the 'typeover' (or insert) mode in wordperfect. Begin by hitting the 'insert' key on your keyboard, move to the line or box desired, and begin typing. The boxes are set up to give bold characters, and the line characters (" _ ") ensure the form keeps a constant format. The form uses wordperfect version 5.1 and a 'universal scalable' font; you may need to revise printer setup to accommodate this. The diskette provided contains 2 versions of this form in Wordperfect 5.1 format (see point 2 below). These files have a write protection code.

2) Discussion/Rationale Section: The evaluator should enter comments as appropriate. To facilitate this, two versions of this form are provided in wordperfect files. Version "SA-DECIS.#1" contains the version found on the front side of this form. You can complete this form in writing or by using the 'typeover' mode when entering discussion text using wordperfect. Version "SA-DECIS.#2" has the exact same form, except the lines have been deleted from the discussion box. This box was created using 'Tables' in wordperfect 5.1, thus it can expand as new lines are added or scrolled within the box. The evaluator can simply enter text in the normal edit mode in wordperfect.

3) Use of 'not applicable - (n/a)': This can be entered wherever appropriate. For example, in cases where EPA wants to re-evaluate a previous decision based on new information and no report applies, the evaluator may enter 'N/A' for "report type" and "report date". The Discussion/Rationale section should explain what new information supports EPA's decision.

4) Signature Boxes: When using this form to document report approval, the Regionally designated person responsible to review and approve a final report should sign and date the "Report Reviewed and Approved by" line. Otherwise, reviewers can choose to sign their approval directly on a report and eliminate the "Report Reviewed and Approved by" signature box from this form.

The person responsible for deciding what, if any, further site assessment is required should complete the 'Site Decision Made by' line (note that this can be the same person who reviewed and approved a report). All dates should reflect when an actual review or decision is complete. Only site decision dates, and not site assessment report dates, need to roughly correspond to CERCLIS entry dates.

Explanation of Entries

- 1) Site Name = same name as listed in CERCLIS
- 2) EPA ID = same as CERCLIS ID number
- 3) Alias site names = self explanatory
- 4) City, County or Parish, State = same as listed in CERCLIS
- 5) Report date = if applicable, date of final report associated with the site decision
- 6) SEA = Site Assessment Accomplished, the successor of No Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP)
- 7) RCRA = the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) program of EPA
- 8) NRC = the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- 9) PA = Preliminary Assessment
- 10) SI = Site Inspection
- 11) SIP = Site Inspection Prioritization
- 12) ESI = Expanded Site Inspection
- 13) Regional Decision Team - a group of EPA Regional managers who evaluate the need for site assessment and response action at a site and formulate appropriate steps to address those needs.